IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

DIVISION TWO

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Respondent,

v.

WILLIE LEE JOYNER V,

Appellant.

ON APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON FOR PIERCE COUNTY

The Honorable John M. McCarthy, Judge

BRIEF OF APPELLANT

Victoria J. Lyons Attorney for Appellant

WASHINGTON APPELLATE PROJECT 1511 Third Avenue, Suite 701 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 587-2711

TABLE OF CONTENTS

A. ASSIGNMENTS OF ERROR
B. ISSUES PERTAINING TO ASSIGMENTS OF ERROR1
C. STATEMENT OF THE CASE1
D. ARGUMENT2
1. The record does not support the trial court's finding as to Mr. Joyner's present or future ability to pay his legal financial obligations (LFOs) and must therefore be stricken
a. The power to impose LFOS is limited by RCW 10.01.160
b. The imposition of LFOs on Mr. Joyner violated RCW 10.01.160 and resulted in an erroneous sentence5
c. Absent evidence supporting Mr. Joyner's ability to pay the imposed LFOs must be stricken6
E. CONCLUSION6

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<u>Fuller v. Oregon</u> , 417 U.S. 40, 40 L. Ed. 2d 642, 94 S. Ct. 2116 (1974)4
<u>State v. Baldwin,</u> 63 Wn. App. 303, 818 P.2d 1116, 837 P.2d 646 (1991)
State v. Bertrand, 165 Wn. App. 393, 267 P.3d 511 (2011)5
State v. Barklind, 87 Wn.2d 814, 557 P.2d 314 (1976)4
<u>State v. Calvin, No. 67627-0-1, slip op., Wash. App., LEXIS 1276</u> (March 28, 2013)3, 4, 5, 6
State v. Curry, 118 Wn.2d 911, 916, 829 P.2d 166 (1992)
State v. Ford, 137 Wn.2d 472, 973 P.2d 452 (1999)3
State v. Paine, 69 Wn. App. 873, 850 P.2d 1369, (1993)3
Statutes
RCW9A.36.021(1)(g)1
RCW 9A.36.041(1), (2)1
RCW 9A.46.020(2)(b)1
RCW 10.01.1604
RCW 10.99.020

A. ASSIGNMENTS OF ERROR

The trial court erred in making a finding that Mr. Joyner was able to meet the ordered legal financial obligations.

B. ISSUES PERTAINING TO ASSIGNMENTS OF ERROR

Courts may impose legal financial obligations on defendants only if a finding that they have a present or future ability to pay is made. A finding of ability to pay must be supported by the evidence. Though no evidence of Mr. Joyner's ability to pay was presented, the court entered a generic finding that he had the present or future ability to pay. Did the sentencing court err in ordering legal financial obligations for Mr. Joyner?

C. STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Willie Lee Joyner V was convicted by a jury of two counts of assault in the fourth degree, both gross misdemeanors. 1 01/18/2013 RP 2. He received a suspended sentence of two years less 125 days for credit for

¹ Mr. Joyner was charged by information filed in Pierce County Superior Court on September 17, 2012, with assault in the second degree (domestic violence), count I, one count of felony harassment (domestic violence), count II, and one count of assault in the fourth degree, count III, contrary to RCWs 9A.36.021(1)(g), 10.99.020, 9A.46.020(2)(b), and 9A.36.041(1), (2). CP 1-2. Mr. Joyner was found not guilty of the assault in the second degree and felony harassment. The incident arose out of an altercation between Mr. Joyner and his girlfriend and mother of his son, Rosalie Asis. CP 166-69.

time served while awaiting trial, restitution by later order of the court, \$500 in mandatory fees under RCW 7.68.035, \$200 in court costs and \$1500 DCA recoupment, for a total legal financial obligation of \$2200. CP 98-99; 01/18/2013 RP 9. The only written order in regards to Mr. Joyner's financial ability to pay entered by the court is the boilerplate language included on the Conditions of Suspended Sentence form:

Attorney fees as reimbursement for a portion of the expense of his/her court appointed counsel provided by the Pierce County Department of Assigned Counsel. The court finds that the defendant is able to pay said fee without undue financial hardship.

CP 99.

There is no evidence in the record establishing that the trial court took into account Mr. Joyner's ability to pay the fees, with undue financial hardship or not. 01/18/2013 RP 9-10.

D. ARGUMENT

1. THE RECORD DOES NOT SUPPORT THE RIAL COURT'S FINDING AS TO MR. JOYBER'S PRESENT OR FUTURE ABILITY TO PAY HIS LEGAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS (LFOS) AND MUST THEREFORE BE STRICKENT.

At sentencing Mr. Joyner was ordered to pay a total of \$2,200 in legal financial obligations. CP 99; 01/18/2013 RP 9. As of the date of sentencing restitution was to be determined. CP 99. There was a written boilerplate finding that Mr. Joyner was financially able to pay these costs,

but there is no evidence in the record to support this finding and therefore it must be stricken.

A challenge to a trial court's factual findings in sentencing should be reviewed under the clearly erroneous standard. State v. Baldwin, 63
Wn. App. 303, 312, 818 P.2d 1116, 837 P.2d 646 (1991). Although Mr.

Joyner did not make these arguments at sentencing, an illegal or erroneous sentence may be challenged for the first time on appeal. State v. Ford, 137
Wn.2d 472, 477, 973 P.2d 452 (1999), State v. Calvin, No. 67627-0-1, slip op., Wash. App., LEXIS 1276, ¶ 46 (March 28, 2013). Sentencing has long been held to be a critical stage in criminal proceedings. Allowing defendants to challenge erroneous sentences on appeal helps to ensure conformity in sentencing and compliance with current sentencing statutes.

State v. Ford, 137 Wn.2d 472, 478, quoting State v. Paine, 69 Wn. App. 873, 884, 850 P.2d 1369, (1993).

a. The power to impose LFOs is limited by RCW 10.01.160.

RCW 10.01.160 allows for imposition of LFOs on a defendant but

(3) The court shall not order a defendant to pay costs unless the defendant is or will be able to pay them. In determining the amount and method of payment of costs, the court shall take account of the financial resources of the defendant and the nature of the burden that payment of costs will impose.

It is this language that provides a safeguard to a defendant's constitutional rights under <u>Fuller v. Oregon</u>, 417 U.S. 40, 40 L. Ed. 2d 642, 94 S. Ct. 2116 (1974). If this provision is not followed a defendant's constitutional rights may be compromised. Id. at 56.

In <u>State v. Calvin</u>, appellant challenged the trial court's imposition of court costs under RCW 10.01.160 based on an insufficient showing in the record as to his ability to pay the amount. Much like the present case, the defendant in Calvin was ordered by the trial court to pay a total of \$1,300 in mandatory and discretionary legal financial obligations and a boilerplate language was used to find the appellant did indeed have the present or future ability to pay. <u>State v. Calvin</u>, ¶ 45.

The court of appeals affirmed that a trial court is not required "to enter formal, specific findings" in regards to a defendant's ability to pay. See State v. Curry, 118 Wn.2d 911, 916, 829 P.2d 166 (1992). However, "repayment may only be ordered if the defendant is or will be able to pay." State v. Barklind, 87 Wn.2d 814, 557 P.2d 314 (1976), RCW 10.01.160(3).

It is necessary that the record from the court below be sufficient enough for review as to whether or not the defendant's financial resources into account. State v. Bertrand, 165 Wn. App. 393, 404, 267 P.3d 511 (2011). The argument that the record lacks any evidence to show that

defendant will be unable to pay in the future is inadequate. The analysis centers on whether or not the evidence supports the actual finding. State v. Calvin, ¶ 48. It was found in State v. Calvin that trial court's finding was not supported and that the record did not show that the defendant's financial resources and ability to pay were taken into account. Id.

b. The imposition of LFOs on Mr. Joyner violated RCW 10.01.160 and resulted in an erroneous sentence.

Mr. Joyner was subject to the same type of boilerplate finding as to his present or future ability to pay his mandatory and discretionary court costs as the defendant in <u>State v. Calvin</u>. There is also nothing in the trial court's record to support such a finding. The record is in fact silent as to Mr. Joyner's financial situation and ability or lack thereof to pay any restitution or ordered LFOs. 01/18/2013 RP 9-10. Even when there was some minimal discussion in the record as to the defendant's financial state the record was found to be insufficient to support boilerplate findings allowing LFOs to be imposed. <u>State v. Calvin</u>, ¶ 48. Mr. Joyner's trial record does not even provide this negligible support.

c. Absent evidence supporting Mr. Joyner's ability to pay the imposed LFOs must be stricken.

As is the situation in the present case, when the record provides no support for a finding of a defendant's ability to pay ordered LFOs the

remedy is to remand to the trial court for the baseless finding to be stricken. State v Bertrand, 165 Wn. App. 393, 405.

E. CONCLUSION

Due to the lack of evidence in the record demonstrating the trial court's finding that Mr. Joyner had the present or future ability to pay his legal financial obligations the finding must be stricken.

Dated this 12 to day of June 2013.

Respectfully submitted,

Victoria J Lyons (WSBA # 45531)

Washington Appellate Project

Attorney for Appellant

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON DIVISION TWO

STATE OF WASHINGTON, RESPONDENT, V. WILLIE LEE JOYNER, APPELLANT. DECLARATION OF DOCUMENT FILING AND SERVICE I, MARIA ARRANZA RILEY, STATE THAT ON THE 12 TH DAY OF JUNE, 2013, I CAUSED TO ORIGINAL OPENING BRIEF OF APPELLANT TO BE FILED IN THE COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION TWO AND A TRUE COPY OF THE SAME TO BE SERVED ON THE FOLLOWING THE MANNER INDICATED BELOW: [X] KATHLEEN PROCTOR, DPA [PCpatcecf@co.pierce.wa.us] PIERCE COUNTY PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE 930 TACOMA AVENUE S, ROOM 946 TACOMA, WA 98402-2171 [X] WILLIE LEE JOYNER 8815 S D ST TACOMA, WA 98444 (X) U.S. MAIL (BRIT LEE JOYNER (X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X					
WILLIE LEE JOYNER, APPELLANT. DECLARATION OF DOCUMENT FILING AND SERVICE I, MARIA ARRANZA RILEY, STATE THAT ON THE 12 TH DAY OF JUNE, 2013, I CAUSED TORIGINAL OPENING BRIEF OF APPELLANT TO BE FILED IN THE COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION TWO AND A TRUE COPY OF THE SAME TO BE SERVED ON THE FOLLOWING THE MANNER INDICATED BELOW: [X] KATHLEEN PROCTOR, DPA [PCpatcecf@co.pierce.wa.us] PIERCE COUNTY PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE P30 TACOMA AVENUE S, ROOM 946 TACOMA, WA 98402-2171 [X] WILLIE LEE JOYNER 8815 S D ST TACOMA, WA 98444 (X) U.S. MAIL HAND DELIVERY HAND DELIVERY		•)))	NO. 4	.4441-1-II
DECLARATION OF DOCUMENT FILING AND SERVICE I, MARIA ARRANZA RILEY, STATE THAT ON THE 12 TH DAY OF JUNE, 2013, I CAUSED TORIGINAL OPENING BRIEF OF APPELLANT TO BE FILED IN THE COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION TWO AND A TRUE COPY OF THE SAME TO BE SERVED ON THE FOLLOWING THE MANNER INDICATED BELOW: [X] KATHLEEN PROCTOR, DPA [PCpatcecf@co.pierce.wa.us] [PCpatcecf@co.pierce.wa.us] [PIERCE COUNTY PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE [Y) [Y] [X] [X] [X] [X] [X] [X] [X]	٧.))		
DECLARATION OF DOCUMENT FILING AND SERVICE I, MARIA ARRANZA RILEY, STATE THAT ON THE 12 TH DAY OF JUNE, 2013, I CAUSED TORIGINAL OPENING BRIEF OF APPELLANT TO BE FILED IN THE COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION TWO AND A TRUE COPY OF THE SAME TO BE SERVED ON THE FOLLOWING THE MANNER INDICATED BELOW: [X] KATHLEEN PROCTOR, DPA () U.S. MAIL [PCpatcecf@co.pierce.wa.us] () HAND DELIVERY PIERCE COUNTY PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE (X) E-MAIL VIA COA PORT 930 TACOMA AVENUE S, ROOM 946 TACOMA, WA 98402-2171 [X] WILLIE LEE JOYNER (X) U.S. MAIL 8815 S D ST () HAND DELIVERY TACOMA, WA 98444 ()	WILLIE LEE JOYNER,		ý		
I, MARIA ARRANZA RILEY, STATE THAT ON THE 12 TH DAY OF JUNE, 2013, I CAUSED TORIGINAL OPENING BRIEF OF APPELLANT TO BE FILED IN THE COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION TWO AND A TRUE COPY OF THE SAME TO BE SERVED ON THE FOLLOWING THE MANNER INDICATED BELOW: [X] KATHLEEN PROCTOR, DPA [PCpatcecf@co.pierce.wa.us] PIERCE COUNTY PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE 930 TACOMA AVENUE S, ROOM 946 TACOMA, WA 98402-2171 [X] WILLIE LEE JOYNER 8815 S D ST TACOMA, WA 98444 () HAND DELIVERY HAND DELIVERY () HAND DELIVERY	APPELL	ANT.)		
I, MARIA ARRANZA RILEY, STATE THAT ON THE 12 TH DAY OF JUNE, 2013, I CAUSED TORIGINAL OPENING BRIEF OF APPELLANT TO BE FILED IN THE COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION TWO AND A TRUE COPY OF THE SAME TO BE SERVED ON THE FOLLOWING THE MANNER INDICATED BELOW: [X] KATHLEEN PROCTOR, DPA [PCpatcecf@co.pierce.wa.us] PIERCE COUNTY PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE 930 TACOMA AVENUE S, ROOM 946 TACOMA, WA 98402-2171 [X] WILLIE LEE JOYNER 8815 S D ST TACOMA, WA 98444 () HAND DELIVERY HAND DELIVERY () HAND DELIVERY	DECLARATIO	N OF DOCUME	NIT FTI T		
ORIGINAL OPENING BRIEF OF APPELLANT TO BE FILED IN THE COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION TWO AND A TRUE COPY OF THE SAME TO BE SERVED ON THE FOLLOWING THE MANNER INDICATED BELOW: [X] KATHLEEN PROCTOR, DPA [PCpatcecf@co.pierce.wa.us] [PCpatcecf@co.pierce.wa.us] [PIERCE COUNTY PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE [STATE OF THE SAME TO BE SERVED ON THE FOLLOWING () U.S. MAIL [PCpatcecf@co.pierce.wa.us] [STATE OF THE SAME TO BE SERVED ON THE FOLLOWING () U.S. MAIL [STATE OF THE SAME TO BE SERVED ON THE FOLLOWING (X) U.S. MAIL [S	DECLARATIO	N OF DOCUME	NI FILI	NG AN	ID SERVICE
[PCpatcecf@co.pierce.wa.us] () HAND DELIVERY PIERCE COUNTY PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE (X) E-MAIL VIA COA PORT 930 TACOMA AVENUE S, ROOM 946 TACOMA, WA 98402-2171 [X] WILLIE LEE JOYNER (X) U.S. MAIL 8815 S D ST () HAND DELIVERY TACOMA, WA 98444 ()	ORIGINAL <u>OPENING BRIEF</u> DIVISION TWO AND A TRU	OF APPELLANT E COPY OF THE S	TO BE FIL	ED IN T	HE COURT OF APPEALS
8815 S D ST () HAND DELIVERY TACOMA, WA 98444 ()	[<u>PCpatcecf@co.pier</u> PIERCE COUNTY PF 930 TACOMA AVEN	<u>rce.wa.us]</u> ROSECUTOR'S OF IUE S, ROOM 946	FICE	()	HAND DELIVERY
SIGNED IN SEATTLE, WASHINGTON THIS 12 TH DAY OF JUNE, 2013.	8815 S D ST			(X) () ()	
x	SIGNED IN SEATTLE, WASH	INGTON THIS 12 ¹	[™] DAY OF	JUNE,	2013.
	x				

WASHINGTON APPELLATE PROJECT

June 12, 2013 - 4:17 PM

Transmittal Letter

Document Uploaded:	444411-Appel	lant's Brief.p	df			
Case Name: Court of Appeals Case Number:	STATE V. WIL 44441-1	LIE JOYNER				
Is this a Personal Restraint F	Petition?	Yes	No			
The document being Filed is:						
Designation of Clerk's	Papers	Supplemen	tal Designation of Clerk's Papers			
Statement of Arranger	nents					
Motion:						
Answer/Reply to Motio	n:					
■ Brief: <u>Appellant's</u>						
Statement of Additiona	al Authorities					
Cost Bill						
Objection to Cost Bill						
Affidavit						
Letter						
Copy of Verbatim Repo		ngs - No. of \	/olumes:			
Personal Restraint Peti	tion (PRP)					
Response to Personal I	Response to Personal Restraint Petition					
Reply to Response to F	Reply to Response to Personal Restraint Petition					
Petition for Review (PR	RV)					
Other:						
Comments:						
No Comments were entered	Ι.					

Sender Name: Maria A Riley - Email: maria@washapp.org

A copy of this document has been emailed to the following addresses:

PCpatcecf@co.pierce.wa.us